GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
HOME AFFAIRS
LOK SABHA

STARRED QUESTION NO:8
ANSWERED ON:01.03.2005
SECOND DELHI FINANCE COMMISSION
Bansal Shri Pawan Kumar

Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) the system deployed for determining the grant-in-aid to the UTs by the Centre;

(b) whether the Second Finance Commission had recommended that 17 per cent of the tax revenue of the Union Territory Administration be given to the Municipal Corporation and one per cent to Panchayatiraj Institutions;

(c) if so, the amount so payable and that actually paid to the Municipal Corporation and the Panchayatiraj Institutions in Chandigarh during each of the last three years;

(d) the reasons for not releasing the grant as per the recommendation of the Finance Commission;

(e) whether the Government is aware that the functions performed by the Municipal Corporation and the Panchayatiraj Institutions constitute a major chunk of the total civic services provided in Chandigarh; and

(f) if so, the total revenue contributed by each of the UTs to the Consolidated Fund of India and that granted to them during each of the last three years?

Answer

MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI S.REGUPATHY)

(a)to(f): A statement is laid on the Table of the House.
STATEMENT REFERRED TO IN REPLY TO LOK SABHA STARRED QUESTION NO. 8 FOR ANSWER ON 1-3-2005

(a) The requirements of funds of the Union Territories under Non-Plan are assessed by the Ministry of Finance, in consultation with Ministry of Home Affairs at the pre-Budget discussions. The requirement of funds under Plan are discussed in the Planning Commission and sector-wise allocation is approved and communicated by Planning Commission in the Annual Plan of the Union Territories.

(b) Yes, Sir.

(c) The amount that would have become payable to the Municipal Corporation and Panchayati Raj Institutions, if the recommendations of the Second Delhi Finance Commission were to be accepted and the amount that has been paid during the preceding three years, is as follows:

(Rs. in crore)

Sl. No.	Year	Tax Revenue collected by UT of Chandigarh	Amount admissible to Municipal Corporation Chandigarh and PRIs	Amount actually paid to Municipal Corporation Chandigarh and PRIs
1.	2002-03	409.89	Municipal Corp. - 69.68 PRIs - 4.10	Municipal Corp - 52.90 PRIs -6.03
2.	2003-04	458.47	Municipal Corp. - 77.94 PRIs - 4.58	Municipal Corp. - 72.29 PRIs - 8.55
3.	2004-05	500.00 (RE)	Municipal Corp. - 85.00 PRIs - 5.00	Municipal Corp. - 79.76(BE) PRIs - 6.92

(d) The recommendations of the Second Delhi Finance Commission are still under consideration of the Government.

(e) Yes, Sir.

(f) The total revenue contributed by each of the UTs without legislature to the Consolidated Fund of India and that granted to them during each of the last three years is indicated below:

(Rs. in crore)

Name of the UT	Total Tax and Non-Tax revenue generated and contributed to C.F. of India	Expenditure provision met from the C.F. of India
A & Nicobar Islands	2002-03 - 89.66	2002-03 - 872.15
	2003-04 - 100.95	2003-04 - 974.64
	2004-05 - 106.83(RE)	2004-05 - 1005.00(RE)
Chandigarh	2002-03 - 730.64	2002-03 - 798.65
	2003-04 - 821.59	2003-04 - 858.92
	2004-05 - 917.63(RE)	2004-05 - 969.52(RE)
Dadra and Nagar Haveli	2002-03 - 144.83	2002-03 - 96.89
	2003-04 - 180.78	2003-04 - 100.69
	2004-05 - 191.30(RE)	2004-05 - 106.37(RE)
Daman & Diu	2002-03 - 116.16	2002-03 - 87.97
	2003-04 - 145.19	2003-04 - 94.44
	2004-05 - 170.68 (RE)	2004-05 - 107.80(RE)
Lakshadweep	2002-03 - 21.01	2002-03 - 240.06
	2003-04 - 22.30	2003-04 - 209.18
	2004-05 - 18.97(RE)	2004-05 - 228.31(RE)